	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Interview Summary	09/347,523	GOMI, YOSHIYUKI
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Dung Nguyen	2871
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):	
(1) <u>Dung Nguyen</u>	(3)	
(2) <u>David Cho</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>20 May 2004</u> .		
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)⊠ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2	2)⊠ applicant's represe	ntative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: 4.7 and 27.		
Identification of prior art discussed:		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g)□ was not reached. I	n)⊠ N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant's presentative I are not inherent to the components of the device; however, material" would have been obvious to one skilled in the LCI stand.</u>	nas provided an argume even if not inherent, the	nt to show that the method steps
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments	ner agreed would render the claims that would render the claims
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
	*	
		Whi e
kan a saara kan san san sa san sa san san san san san		DUNG T. NGU
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	•	Signature, if required